

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,271	•	04/10/2004	Leslie Jae Lenell		2473	
32743	7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
SEYMOUR LEVINE				TO, TUAN C		
4928 MAY CULVER C		- · -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	, <u>.</u>			3663		
				DATE MAILED: 01/04/200	DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/822,271 LENELL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	10/			
	Tuan C To	3663	My			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIC, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	pril 2004 and 02 August 200	<u>04</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) 1-15,17,18 and 21-41 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	es have been received. es have been received in Apurity documents have been received in Apurity documents have been received.	plication No eceived in this National	Stage			
Attrohmant/s)						
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/10/2004.	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 19 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The applicant claimed the following: "windshield wrap-around display of claim 16", "windshield wrap-around display of claim 26", however, in claim 16, the applicant just only claimed "windshield display"; in claim 26, windshield wrap-around display" was not claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a

Application/Control Number: 10/822,271

Art Unit: 3663

later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Margolin (US 5904724A) and in view of Hodgetts et al. (US 6634885B2).

With respect to claim 16, Margolin directs to a system and method for remotely piloting an aircraft, so then a remote aircraft is controlled by a remote pilot who is provided a three dimensional projected view that represents the environment around the remote aircraft (Margolin, abstract). The remote aircraft simulator shown in figure 6 of Margolin is capable of simulating the behavior of a remote aircraft (Margolin, column 9, lines 34-53). The remote pilot is provided by the three dimensional projected view, the behavior of the aircraft, thus the remote pilot simulator disclosed in Margolin provided the virtual reality of the remote aircraft during simulating.

Margolin does not disclose that the display of the pilot simulator is a windshield display.

The reference to Hodgetts et al. has been cited as teaching a flight simulator to provide the look and feel of a corresponding aircraft in-flight (Hodgetts et al, abstract). As shown in figure 6, the flight simulator includes a windshield display as a display device of the simulated cockpit (10) for displaying the three dimensional image.

Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the pilot simulator as disclosed in

Application/Control Number: 10/822,271

Art Unit: 3663

the system of Margolin by the simulator of Hodgetts et al. so that a remote aircraft can be fully controlled by a remote pilot from a ground location as similar as it is controlled by its flight crew. This provides the advantage in completely controlling the aircraft from a ground station in case of the aircraft has been hijacked by a group of terrorists.

Allowable Subject Matter

After searching some of prior areas that are relevant to the subject matter of the claimed invention, the examiner has found none of the references fairly discloses the limitation as recited in claims 1, 17, 18, 21, and 22. Accordingly, claims 1-15, 17, 18, 21-41 would be allowable. Claims 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. It should be noted that claims 19 and 20 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form as said and after they are amended to overcome the objection indicated in the first paragraph of this office action.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (703) 308-6273. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,271

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

/tc

December 18, 2004

Mela Mela 17/26/64
DISHARD M. PAYIBY
DISHARY & AMINER

Page 5